Clinical Efficacy and Safety Profile of Micropulse Transscleral Cyclophotocoagulation in Refractory Glaucoma
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Purpose: To investigate the clinical efficacy and safety profile of micropulse transscleral cyclophotocoagulation (MP-CPC) in patients with refractory glaucoma.

Materials and Methods: Retrospective case series of 79 consecutive patients who underwent MP-CPC at the Wills Eye Hospital from March 23, 2014 to June 23, 2016 and who had at least 3 months of follow-up. Treatment success was defined as an intraocular pressure (IOP) of 6 to 21 mm Hg or a reduction of IOP by 20%. Failure was defined as an inability to meet the criteria for success, need for retreatment > 3 times, or need for incisional glaucoma surgery.

Results: Patients had a mean follow-up time of 7.8 ± 4.5 months. The mean IOP before MP-CPC was 31.9 ± 10.2 mm Hg. The IOP was reduced by an average of 51% at the last follow-up and the mean anterior chamber in 66% at 6 months, and 67% at last follow-up. Complications of MP-CPC included 7 patients with hypotony (8.8%), 21 patients with prolonged anterior chamber inflammation (1+ cell or flare for > 3 mo, 26%), 13 patients with loss of ≥ 2 lines of best-corrected visual acuity at 3 months (17%), 4 patients with macular edema (5%), 2 patients with corneal edema and 2 patients with phthisis.

Conclusions: MP-CPC is an effective treatment for patients with refractory glaucoma. Shorter treatment times with more frequent repeat treatments, if necessary, should be considered given the incidence of significant vision loss in this study.

Key Words: cyclophotocoagulation, laser treatment, refractory glaucoma

(J Glaucoma 2018;27:445–449)

Transscleral cyclophotocoagulation (CPC) is a cyclodestructive procedure that utilizes diode laser energy to target the ciliary body in order to reduce the production of aqueous humor.1–10 Diode laser emits light near the infrared spectrum, 810 nm, which is absorbed by melanin. CPC targets the melanin present in the ciliary body to bring about coagulative tissue changes in both the pigmented and non-pigmented epithelium, thereby decreasing the rate of aqueous humor production.11,12 Traditionally, CPC uses a continuous mode of delivering laser energy, that is, continuous wave CPC (CW-CPC). This has been shown to cause significant collateral damage to adjacent structures including the ciliary stroma and ciliary muscle.11 Postoperative complications such as uveitis, vision loss, chronic hypotony, phthisis bulbi, and sympathetic ophthalmia are in part due to the nonselective nature of the CW-CPC technique. Because of the risk of these complications, CPC is reserved for end-stage refractory glaucoma or palliation of painful eyes with very poor visual prognosis. Risk of complications may be influenced by the amount of energy used and type of glaucoma, iris color, age, sex, and history of previous surgery.2,13 Concerns with regard to postoperative complications must be balanced against overall efficacy, as studies have shown that mean intraocular pressure (IOP) reduction strongly correlates with the number of laser burns delivered.13–15

Recently, a noncontinuous delivery mode of diode laser called micropulse transscleral CPC (MP-CPC) has been developed to treat various retinal and glaucoma diseases.16–19 The micropulse mode administers a series of short pulses of laser energy ("on" cycle) separated by pauses ("off" cycle). The cyclic laser application allows energy to build up in the targeted pigment tissues, eventually reaching the coagulative threshold. During the "off" cycle, nonpigmented tissues adjacent to the tissue of interest are able to "cool off", theoretically preventing them from reaching the coagulative threshold and minimizing collateral tissue damage.16 This FDA-approved laser system (IRIDEX Laser Systems, Mountain View, CA) is used for MP-CPC and early studies have shown comparable efficacy with fewer side effects when compared with CW-CPC.16,20,21 Each of these studies, however, had a limited sample size and so its efficacy and safety profile have not been fully characterized. We therefore aimed to describe our experience with MP-CPC at a tertiary care referral center for patients with advanced glaucoma. Our initial experience with MP-CPC including a small cohort of patients was published previously.21 The present study represents a unique series of patients starting after our initial experience with the laser.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This retrospective case series received institutional review board approval from Wills Eye Hospital. Informed consent was waived due to its retrospective nature. It was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.
Participants
The study sample comprised of 79 consecutive patients who underwent MP-CPC at the Wills Eye Hospital from March 23, 2014 to June 23, 2016 and who had at least 3 months of follow-up. Patients had uncontrolled glaucoma as defined by IOP above target or evidence of disease progression despite maximal tolerated medical therapy. Patients were enrolled for treatment with MP-CPC at the discretion of the treating physician, but generally had already failed or were not candidates for incisional glaucoma surgery. The study excluded the following: patients 20 years of age or below, patients who had undergone previous intracocular surgery or ocular laser treatment within 2 months of enrollment, patients who had undergone previous CW-CPC within 1 month of enrollment, patients with significant scleral thinning as defined by greater than 1 clock hour, patients with any medical condition who would preclude the subject from providing reliable and valid data, patients enrolled in other prospective clinical trials, and albinos patients.

Treatment
Patients underwent MP-CPC using the IRIDEX Cyclo G6 laser with settings of 2000 mW of 810 nm infrared diode laser with a duty cycle of 31.3%, which translated to 0.5 milliseconds of “on” time and 1.1 milliseconds of “off” time. The laser was delivered in a “stop-and-go” pattern (ie, it was held in place for 10 s before being moved to the adjacent section of perilimbal conjunctiva) for 120 to 360 seconds. The 3 o'clock and 9 o'clock positions were avoided, as is standard technique with traditional CW-CPC to avoid the ciliary neurovascular structures. Postoperatively, subjects received Prednisolone 1%—1 drop 4 times a day during the first week followed by a tapering course over an additional 3 weeks.

Outcome Measures

Primary Outcome
Treatment success was defined by an IOP between 6 and 21 mm Hg or a 20% reduction from baseline without an increase in glaucoma medication over baseline. Qualified success was defined as achieving treatment success with the aid of additional antiglaucoma medications. Failure was defined as an inability to meet the criteria for success, need for retreatment > 3 times, or need for incisional glaucoma surgery. Outcomes were assessed at 3 months, 6 months, and the last available follow-up visit for those followed longer than 6 months.

Secondary Outcomes
Anterior chamber inflammation was assessed by slit-lamp biomicroscopy preoperatively and at each postoperative visit and graded according to the Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN) grading system. Patient charts were reviewed with attention to the occurrence of complications including hypotony (IOP < 5 mm Hg), prolonged inflammation (> 1 grade or higher anterior chamber inflammation for ≥ 3 mo), loss of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) (≥ 2 lines of Snellen visual acuity for ≥ 3 mo), scleral perforation, phthisis bulbi, and sympathetic ophthalmia. Hypotony was also classified as occurring early (< 1 mo) or late (> 1 mo) postoperatively.

Statistical Analysis
Linear mixed effects models were used to predict the effect of time on IOP, BCVA, and number of medications. Survival analysis was performed to evaluate the time to treatment success. Finally, univariate logistic regression models were used to evaluate the effect of various covariates on the occurrence of prolonged inflammation. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software and a P-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS
Eighty-two patients underwent MP-CPC with 1 attending surgeon (M.R.M.) at the Wills Eye Hospital from March 23, 2014 to June 23, 2016. Three patients were excluded due to < 3 months of follow-up data available, resulting in 79 eyes of 79 patients included in the analysis. The average age of the study cohort was 70.2 years and 48 patients (61%) were female. Patients generally had glaucoma that was refractory to other management approaches. The cohort had a mean BCVA of 20/200 and mean IOP of 31.9 ± 10.2 mm Hg on 2.3 ± 1.2 medications at baseline. Patients had undergone an average of 1.2 ± 0.9 glaucoma surgeries and 1.0 ± 0.7 other ocular surgeries before MP-CPC. Other baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the study cohort are shown in Table 1.

Eyes received a mean treatment time of 300 ± 42 seconds with a minimum of 120 seconds and maximum of 360 seconds. Follow-up time ranged from 3 to 25 months after MP-CPC, with a mean follow-up time of 7.8 ± 4.5 months. Ten eyes (12.6%) received a second application of MP-CPC during the follow-up period and 1 eye received 3 treatments. For eyes receiving retreatment, most (8/10) were retreated between 1 and 2 months after initial MP-CPC. In 2 cases, IOP was controlled for 1 year before retreatment was necessary.Retreatment was performed at the surgeon’s discretion, but patients were generally not good candidates for incisional surgery. For eyes receiving > 1 MP-CPC treatment, the follow-up period was calculated from the time of the last laser application.

Rates of treatment success, qualified success and failure are shown in Figure 1. Treatment success rate at 3 months was 74.7% with an additional 10.1% of patients meeting the criteria for treatment success with the addition of medications. Treatment success rates dropped to 66.1% at 6 months and were stable through the last follow-up for those patients followed longer than 6 months. Mean IOP was reduced by 51% at last follow-up with an average of 0.8 fewer glaucoma medications for the cohort (Figs. 2, 3). Linear mixed effects models predicting the effect of time on
IOP were not significant with an estimated effect of ~0.015 ($P = 0.85$). This indicates that posttreatment IOP did not change significantly during the follow-up interval. Linear mixed effects models were significant for the effect of time on logMAR BCVA (estimated effect 0.013, $P = 0.02$) and number of medications (estimated effect 0.029, $P = 0.02$). A Kaplan-Meier plot showing time to treatment success is shown in Figure 4. Two patients had a baseline visual acuity of no light perception (NLP) and did achieve pain control after MP-CPC.

Complications of MP-CPC included 7 patients with hypotony (8.8%), including 6 patients with early hypotony (IOP $< 5$ mm Hg within the first postoperative month). Four of these patients had persistent hypotony and 1 additional patient developed hypotony after the first postoperative month, resulting in 5 patients with late hypotony. Additional complications included 21 patients with prolonged anterior chamber inflammation (defined as 1+ cell or flare for $\geq 3$ mo, 26%), 13 patients with loss of $\geq 2$ lines of BCVA for $\geq 3$ mo (16.5%), 4 patients with macular edema (5%), 2 patients with corneal edema, and 2 patients with phthisis bulbi. No patient developed sympathetic ophthalmia. No cases of mydriasis or loss of accommodation were observed; however, given the retrospective nature of the study, this information was not directly elicited from patients and so may have been overlooked. Patients undergoing retreatment did not seem to be more inclined to complications. Among the 10 patients who underwent retreatment, 3 experienced prolonged inflammation, 1 experienced loss of BCVA for $\geq 3$ months, and 1 experienced early hypotony that resolved by 3 months. These rates are similar to the overall cohort.

The clinical characteristics and etiology of vision loss in the 13 patients who lost $\geq 2$ lines of BCVA are detailed in Table 2. The 2 patients with baseline vision of NLP were not included in this table as they were not considered to have lost vision as a result of MP-CPC. The frequency of anterior chamber inflammation at each time point is detailed in Table 3. A univariate logistic regression model was carried out to predict the effect of various covariates (age, sex, race, glaucoma diagnosis, diagnosis of hypertension, total energy applied, and preoperative IOP) on the occurrence of prolonged inflammation. The only significant covariate was race, where nonwhite races had 3.6 times greater odds of prolonged inflammation compared with whites (odds ratio, 3.61; 95% confidence interval, 1.27-10.23; $P = 0.02$). In addition, there was no difference in IOP control for patients with prolonged inflammation as compared with those patients whose inflammation had resolved at either 3 months ($P = 0.41$) or 6 months ($P = 0.55$).

Two patients in this cohort developed phthisis after treatment with MP-CPC. The first patient was a 58-year-old Black male with a diagnosis of neovascular glaucoma due to uncontrolled diabetes. He had absolute glaucoma with a baseline vision of NLP and baseline IOP of 69 mm Hg with pain. MP-CPC was carried out with the goal of achieving comfort in this blind painful eye. Standard parameters were used as outlined above and the laser was applied for 120 seconds. He initially had a moderate response to the laser with IOPs ranging from 38 to 48 mm Hg as his postoperative day 1, week 1, and month 1.

---

**FIGURE 1.** Rates of treatment success, qualified success, and nonresponse for the cohort at baseline through the last follow-up.

**FIGURE 2.** Mean intraocular pressure at baseline through the last follow-up.

**FIGURE 3.** Mean number of medications at baseline through the last follow-up.

**FIGURE 4.** Kaplan-Meier curve demonstrating time to success.
visits. At his postoperative month 3 visit, his IOP had decreased to 2 mm Hg and he had a shrunken globe consistent with phthisis.

The second patient was a 64-year-old Black female with a history of sarcoidosis and nanophthalmos. She was bilaterally aphakic due to complicated cataract surgery as a young adult. Her baseline vision was 20/30 with contact lens correction, and baseline IOP in her study eye was 25 mm Hg on 4 topical glaucoma medications. She had not had previous glaucoma surgery. MP-CPC was carried out due to her aphakia and high risk for complications with incisional surgery. The laser was applied using standard parameters for 180 seconds to both the superior and inferior conjunctival hemifields. IOP was stable at 2 to 3 mm Hg and the eye became phthisical.

Despite these interventions, her IOP was stable at 2 to 3 mm Hg and she had a shrunken globe consistent with phthisis.

In the above studies, there was no incidence of hypotony or phthisis and only 1 case of vision loss with MP-CPC treatment. These studies also reported significantly lower rates of postoperative inflammation with only 1 patient experiencing anterior chamber inflammation for longer than 1 month after surgery. Our study population had significantly higher shallow choroidal detachment with a small cyclodialysis cleft at the 1 o’clock position. At 13 months postoperation, a cyclodialysis cleft repair was undertaken via an external approach. Repeat UBM after the repair confirmed the small cyclodialysis cleft was closed, however the IOP did not improve and the eye remained phthisical.

**DISCUSSION**

In this cohort of patients with refractory glaucoma and multiple ocular comorbidities, MP-CPC was shown to be an effective method of lowering IOP. Treatment success rates were 75% at 3 months, 66% at 6 months, and 67% at last follow-up. Linear mixed effects models predicting the effect of time on IOP were not significant, indicating that any IOP lowering effect of the MP-CPC was observable by 3 months and did not deteriorate during the study period for those patients followed for longer than 3 months.

These success rates are consistent with prior studies. Tan et al10 observed treatment success (defined as IOP <21 mm Hg or a 30% reduction in IOP) in 80% of their 40 MP-CPC treated eyes after 18 months. In their comparative study, Aquino and colleagues observed treatment success (defined as IOP between 6 and 21 mm Hg and at least 30% reduction in IOP) in 75% of 24 MP-CPC treated eyes after 18 months. In their comparative study, Aquino and colleagues observed treatment success (defined as IOP between 6 and 21 mm Hg and at least 30% reduction in IOP) in 75% of 24 MP-CPC treated eyes after 18 months.
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complication rates including 7 patients with hypotony (6 early and 5 late), 21 patients with prolonged anterior chamber inflammation for ≥3 months, 13 patients with loss of ≥2 lines of BCVA for ≥3 months and 2 patients with phthisis. This may be related to differences in study populations. Our cohort generally had advanced glaucoma, complex ocular histories, and poor baseline visual acuity. These 2 previous studies on MP-CPC also applied significantly lower energy levels. Specifically, both Tan and colleagues and Aquino and colleagues applied the laser for a total of 100 seconds in a painting motion across the perilimbal conjunctiva. Our hospital used similar settings in the earliest applications of MP-CPC, however they were abandoned when they were found to result in insufficient IOP reduction. This study cohort received on average 300 seconds of MP-CPC application and no patient received <120 seconds of treatment time. Although this may be a pertinent factor, it is important to note that one of the patients who developed phthisis received this lowest level of application time (120 s).

Only one other group has published their initial experience with MP-CPC. Emmanuel et al reported a similar level of IOP reduction (41.2% at an average follow-up time of 4.3 mo) and also a similar complication rate, including 46% of eyes with persistent inflammation at 3 months and 41% of eyes with loss of at least 1 line of BCVA. Patients in this study received an average treatment time of 320 seconds.

Limitations of our study include its moderate sample size and incomplete data at each follow-up interval. Because of the tertiary care referral center at which this study was undertaken, many patients followed-up locally for at least some postoperative visits. Although every effort was undertaken to retrieve these data, some records could not be obtained and some patients were simply lost to follow-up. This may have prejudiced the data towards higher apparent complication rates and lower success rates, as patients with an uneventful postoperative course are less likely to return to the tertiary care referral center for long-term follow-up. In addition, these results may not be generalizable to community-based glaucoma practices as most patients in this study had advanced or refractory glaucoma and multiple ocular comorbidities.

In conclusion, this retrospective cohort study demonstrated that MP-CPC is an effective approach for reducing IOP in glaucoma that is refractory to medical management. We describe a broader range of complications than has previously been reported for MP-CPC, possibly related to longer treatment times which are necessary to achieve reasonable success rates in advanced glaucoma. Given the association of nonwhite race with a higher incidence of prolonged inflammation, it would be reasonable to use shorter treatment times in heavily pigmented patients with the understanding that repeat treatment may be needed later should this initial approach be ineffective. Shorter treatment times or alternative therapies should also be considered in patients with nanophthalmos. It is well known that nanophthalmic eyes are predisposed to choroidal detachments and in 1 recent case series of nanophthalmic patients who underwent CW-CPC, all 4 patients developed postoperative choroidal detachments. Finally, shorter treatment times may also be advisable in patients with good vision at baseline, given the not infrequent incidence of vision loss in this study. Irrespective of the laser parameters selected, all patients who undergo MP-CPC should be advised that these complications may occur. Additional studies are needed to directly compare the efficacy and safety profile of MP-CPC to that of CW-CPC in patients with advanced glaucoma.
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